

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/803,017	Applicant(s) REINECKE ET AL.	
	Examiner Adam C. Rehm	Art Unit 2875	

All Participants:

(1) Adam C. Rehm.

(2) John W. Harbst.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 November 2005

Time: 4:00pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 9 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Re-title application to "Thematic Structure with Air-responsive Light Source"; replace instances of "enclosure" with "structure"; and add "walled" to preamble of Claims 9 and 15.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)